

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
NEW ALBANY DIVISION

In re: _____) Chapter 11
)
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC, _____) Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11
)
Debtor. _____)

**TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE
AND SETTLEMENT WITH MONTE HAIAR**

James A. Knauer, the Chapter 11 Trustee ("Trustee") appointed in the above captioned case ("Chapter 11 Case") for the estate ("Estate") of Eastern Livestock Co., LLC ("Debtor"), by counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an Order approving the proposed compromise attached as Exhibit 1 ("Settlement Agreement") with Monte Haiar ("Haiar"). In support of this motion, the Trustee says:

BACKGROUND & JURISDICTION

1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned Chapter 11 Case against the Debtor on December 6, 2010 by filing an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Court entered an *Order For Relief in An Involuntary Case and Order to Complete Filing* [Dkt. No. 110] on December 28, 2010. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered an Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee [Dkt. No. 102] pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019.

3. The Trustee filed the *Trustee's Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on July 23, 2012 [Dkt. No. 1255] and the *First Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on October 26, 2012

[Dkt. No. 1490] (“Plan”). The Court entered an Order on December 17, 2012 [Dkt. No. 1644] (“Confirmation Order”) confirming the Plan.

SETTLEMENT

4. On December 27, 2012, the Trustee commenced adversary proceeding no. 12-59143 (the “Adversary Proceeding”) against Bud Heine, Russell Garwood, Janousek Farms, Inc. and Haiar – as defendants. Haiar has denied all liability.

5. Haiar filed a proof of claim (the “POC”) in the Chapter 11 Case. The POC is designated on the official claims register maintained by The BMC Group, Inc. as Claim No. 349. Claim No. 349 asserts a priority claim totaling \$6,000.00. The Trustee filed an objection to the POC in the Chapter 11 Case [Dkt. No. 2077].

6. The Trustee and Haiar desire to resolve and settle all issues, disputes, claims, and causes of action between them without resorting to further litigation. Without admission of any fault or liability and, as a result of good faith settlement negotiations, they have agreed to settle and resolve all such issues, disputes, claims and causes of action as summarized below and more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

7. Under the proposed Settlement Agreement, Haiar will pay \$7,000 (the “Settlement Amount”) to the Trustee for the benefit of the Estate. Haiar will withdraw and release any and all claims and causes of action against the Debtor’s Estate, Trustee and Trustee’s Professionals including but not limited to the POC. The Trustee will move to dismiss Haiar from the Adversary Proceeding. Haiar and the Trustee will also mutually release each other (and each other’s officers, directors, employees, shareholders, attorneys, insurers, agents and affiliates, predecessors, successors, past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, assigns and heirs) from

all claims or causes of action known or unknown, direct or indirect, related to the Chapter 11 Case.

8. In the Trustee's professional judgment the proposed compromise as set forth in Exhibit 1 is in the best interest of the Estate and its creditors. The Settlement Agreement will also resolve contentious litigation.

9. The proposed Settlement will be effective upon the entry of a final, non-appealable order approving the Settlement.

10. In accordance with the terms of the Plan and in recognition of the strengths and weaknesses of the Parties' claims in light of the evidence obtained, the Settlement Amount will become part of the Collateral Fund (as that term is defined in the Plan).

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

A. The Settlement Is Fair and in the Best Interests of the Debtor's Estate and Should Be Authorized Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).

11. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes a bankruptcy court, on motion by a trustee and after appropriate notice and a hearing, to approve a compromise or settlement so long as the proposed compromise or settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate. See Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); Depoister v. Mary M. Holloway Found., 36 F.3d 582, 586 (7th Cir. 1994) ("In conducting a hearing under Rule 9019(a), the bankruptcy court is to determine whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.") (internal citations omitted); In re Andreuccetti, 975 F.2d 413, 421 (7th Cir. 1992) (Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes the court to approve a settlement if "the settlement is in the best interests of the estate."); In re Energy Co-op, Inc., 886 F.2d 921, 927 (7th Cir. 1989) ("[t]he benchmark

for determining the propriety of a bankruptcy settlement is whether the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.”).

12. Compromises are tools for expediting the administration of the case and reducing administrative costs and are favored in bankruptcy. See Fogel v. Zell, 221 F.3d 955, 960 (7th Cir. 2000) (“Judges naturally prefer to settle complex litigation than to see it litigated to the hilt, especially when it is litigation in a bankruptcy proceeding — the expenses of administering the bankruptcy often consume most or even all of the bankrupt’s assets.”); In re Martin, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996) (“To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, compromises are favored in bankruptcy”).

13. It is well-settled that a proposed settlement need not be the best result that the debtor could have achieved, but only must fall “within the reasonable range of litigation possibilities.” Energy Co-op, 886 F.2d at 929.

14. As further guidance, the Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidelines: Central to the bankruptcy judge’s determination is a comparison of the settlement’s terms with the litigation’s probable costs and probable benefits. Among the factors the bankruptcy judge should consider in [the] analysis are the litigation’s probability of success, the litigation’s complexity, and the litigation’s attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay.

LaSalle Nat’l Bank v. Holland (In re Am. Reserve Corp.), 841 F.2d 159, 161 (7th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted).

15. The Settlement Agreement, negotiated at arm’s length and in good faith, achieves a result that is in the best interest of the Debtor and its Estate. As set forth more specifically in Exhibit 1, the Settlement Agreement resolves the pending litigation without further motion practice, discovery or a trial.

16. For these reasons, the Settlement Agreement maximizes the value of the Estate's assets and minimizes the burden to the Estate. The Settlement Agreement should be approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

NOTICE

17. The Trustee will provide notice of this Motion pursuant to FED. R. BANK. P. 2002(a)(3) or as otherwise directed by this Court. The Notice shall include a definitive time in which any party will be required to file and serve an objection stating with specificity its objection.

NO PRIOR REQUEST

18. No prior motion for the relief requested herein has been made to the Court in this case.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully request that this Court enter an order approving the Settlement Agreement, as it is in the best interests of the Estate and its creditors.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /s/ Harmony Mappes

Terry E. Hall (#22041-49)
Kevin M. Toner (#11343-49)
Harmony Mappes(#27237-49)
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782
Telephone: (317) 237-0300
Facsimile: (317) 237-1000
terry.hall@faegrebd.com
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com
harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Wendy W. Ponader (#14633-49)
 Dustin R. DeNeal (#27535-49)
 600 East 96th Street, Suite 600
 Indianapolis, IN 46240
 Telephone: (317) 569-9600
 Facsimile: (317) 569-4800
 wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com
 dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 30, 2015, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt davidabt@mwt.net	Amelia Martin Adams aadams@dlgfirm.com	John W. Ames james@bgdlegal.com
Kay Dee Baird kbaird@kdlegal.com	Christopher E. Baker cbaker@thbklaw.com	Robert A. Bell rabell@vorys.com
C. R. Bowles, Jr cbowles@ bgdlegal.com	David W. Brangers dbrangers@lawyer.com	Steven A. Brehm sbrehm@ bgdlegal.com
Kent A Britt kabritt@vorys.com	Kayla D. Britton kayla.britton@faegrebd.com	Joe Lee Brown Joe.Brown@Hardincounty.biz
Lisa Koch Bryant courtmail@fbhllaw.net	John R. Burns, III john.burns@faegrebd.com	John R. Carr, III jrciii@acs-law.com
Deborah Caruso dcaruso@daleeke.com	Ben T. Caughey ben.caughey@icemiller.com	Bret S. Clement bclement@acs-law.com
Joshua Elliott Clubb joshclubb@gmail.com	Jason W. Cottrell jwc@stuartlaw.com	Kirk Crutcher kcrutcher@mcs-law.com
Jack S. Dawson jdawson@millerdollarhide.com	Dustin R. DeNeal dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com	Laura Day Delcotto ldelcotto@dlgfirm.com
David Alan Domina dad@dominalaw.com	Daniel J. Donnellon ddonnellan@fclaw.com	Trevor L. Earl tearl@rwsvlaw.com
Shawna M. Eikenberry shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com	Jeffrey R. Erler jerler@ghjhlaw.com	William K. Flynn wkflynn@strausstroy.com
Robert Hughes Foree robertforee@bellsouth.net	Sandra D. Freeburger sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com	Melissa S. Giberson msgiberson@vorys.com
Thomas P. Glass tpglass@strausstroy.com	Patrick B. Griffin patrick.griffin@kutakrock.com	Terry E. Hall terry.hall@faegrebd.com
Paul M. Hoffman paul.hoffmann@stinsonleonard.com	John David Hoover jdhoover@hooverhull.com	John Huffaker john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com
Jeffrey L Hunter jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov	Jay Jaffe jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com	James Bryan Johnston bjtexas59@hotmail.com
Todd J. Johnston tjohnston@mcjlp.com	Jill Zengler Julian Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov	Jay P. Kennedy jpk@kgrlaw.com

Edward M King tking@fbtlaw.com	James A. Knauer jak@kgrlaw.com	Erick P. Knoblock eknoblock@daleeke.com
Theodore A. Konstantinopoulos ndohbky@jbandr.com	Randall D. LaTour rdlatour@vorys.com	David A. Laird david.laird@moyewhite.com
David L. LeBas dlebas@namanhowell.com	Martha R. Lehman mlehman@kdlegal.com	Scott R. Leisz sleisz@bgdlegal.com
Elliott D. Levin edl@rubin-levin.net	Kim Martin Lewis kim.lewis@dinslaw.com	James B. Lind jblind@vorys.com
Karen L. Lobring lobring@msn.com	Jason A. Lopp jlopp@wyattfirm.com	John Hunt Lovell john@lovell-law.net
Harmony A. Mappes harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com	John Frederick Massouh john.massouh@sprouselaw.com	Michael W. McClain mmcclain@mcclaindeweес.com
Kelly Greene McConnell lisahughes@givenspursley.com	James Edwin McGhee mcghee@derbycitylaw.com	Brian H. Meldrum bmeldrum@stites.com
William Robert Meyer, II rmeyer@stites.com	Kevin J. Mitchell kevin.mitchell@faegrebd.com	Terrill K. Moffett kendalcantrell@moffettlaw.com
Allen Morris amorris@stites.com	Judy Hamilton Morse judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com	Erin Casey Nave enave@taftlaw.com
Matthew Daniel Neumann mneumann@hhclaw.com	Walter Scott Newbern wsnewbern@msn.com	Shiv Ghuman O'Neill shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com
Matthew J. Ochs kim.maynes@moyewhite.com	Jessica Lynn Olsheski jessica.olsheski@justice-law.net	Michael Wayne Oyler moyer@rwsrlaw.com
Ross A. Plourde ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com	Brian Robert Pollock bpollock@stites.com	Wendy W. Ponader wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com
Timothy T. Pridmore tpridmore@mcjlp.com	Anthony G. Raluy traluy@fbhllaw.net	Eric C. Redman ksmith@redmanludwig.com
Eric W. Richardson ewrichardson@vorys.com	Joe T. Roberts jratty@windstream.net	David Cooper Robertson crobertson@stites.com
Mark A. Robinson mrobinson@vhrlaw.com	Jeremy S. Rogers Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com	John M. Rogers johnr@rubin-levin.net
Joseph H. Rogers jrogers@millerdollarhide.com	James E. Rossow jim@rubin-levin.net	Steven Eric Runyan ser@kgrlaw.com
Niccole R. Sadowski nsadowski@thbklaw.com	Thomas C. Scherer tscherer@bgdlegal.com	Stephen E. Schilling seschilling@strausstroy.com
Ivana B. Shallcross ishallcross@bgdlegal.com	Sarah Elizabeth Sharp sarah.sharp@faegrebd.com	Suzanne M Shehan suzanne.shehan@kutakrock.com
James E. Smith, Jr. jsmith@smithakins.com	William E. Smith, III wsmith@k-glaw.com	Amanda Dalton Stafford ads@kgrlaw.com
Joshua N. Stine kabritt@vorys.com	Andrew D. Stosberg astosberg@lloydmc.com	Matthew R. Strzynski indyattorney@hotmail.com
Meredith R. Theisen mtheisen@daleeke.com	John M. Thompson john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com	Kevin M. Toner kevin.toner@faegrebd.com
Christopher M. Trapp ctrapp@rubin-levin.net	Chrisandrea L. Turner clturner@stites.com	U.S. Trustee ustpregion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov
Andrew James Vandiver avandiver@aswlaw.com	Andrea L. Wasson andrea@wassonthornhill.com	Jennifer Watt jwatt@kgrlaw.com

Ronald J. Moore
Ronald.Moore@usdoj.gov

Jason P. Wischmeyer
jason@wischmeyerlaw.com

Sean T. White
swhite@hooverhull.com

James T. Young
james@rubin-levin.net

Michael Benton Willey
michael.willey@ag.tn.gov

John D Dale, Jr.
Johndaleatty@msn.com

I further certify that on July 30, 2015, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Thomas Richard Alexander, II
tra@rgba-law.com

/s/ Harmony Mappes